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## 1 Models in our experiments

The following figures provide additional results of our method. Each figure shows the results of a model. The seven sub-images (from top to bottom, left to the right) in each figure correspond to the original mesh (with the extracted skeleton and segmentation), the magnified mesh with the scaling factor for each ROI, the polycubes of the magnified mesh, the output hex-mesh with our method, the hex-mesh generated by the MeshGems [1] (i.e., the octree-based approach), and the corresponding base complex of our hex-mesh and MeshGems' hexmesh, respectively. The red dots in the base complex visualizations are the corners of the hexahedral (or cuboidlike) components, and the cyan curves are the edges of the components. For the base complexes of those hexmeshes generated by the octree-based method, we do not show the corner points due to the excessive number of components. A detail statistics of all the generated hex-meshes can be found in Table 1.
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Table 1: Statistics of the resultant hex-meshes. * denote the hex-meshes obtained using the original $\ell_{1}$ polycube [2]. ${ }^{\circ}$ shows meshes generated by the octree-base method [1]. \#Tet and \#Tri are the numbers of tetrahedra and triangles in the input meshes, respectively. \#Seg is number of the segments of each model. \#Scal is the maximum scaling factor for the magnification. \#Sin is the number of singularities. \#Com is the number of components in the base-complex. MSJ/ASJ represents the minimum and average scaled Jacobian, respectively. H Dis denotes the Hausdorff distance from the boundary of the hex-mesh to the input surface ( $\%$ of the diameter of the bounding box of each model). S Time, P time and H time show the timing for segmentation, $\ell_{1}$-polycube construction, and hex-mesh extraction. All timing information is obtained in a workstation with $\operatorname{Intel}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{Xeon}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CPU}$ E5-1620 v2 $@ 3.70 \mathrm{GHz}$ and 48 GB Memory @ 1866 MHz .

| Model | \#Tet | \#Tri | \#Seg | \#Scal | \#Sin | \#Com | \#Hex | MSJ / ASJ | H Dis | S Time | P Time | H Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bumpycube | - | 39936 | - | - | 60 | 121 | 16937 | 0.266/0.905 | 2.65 | - | 18m27s | 16s |
| bumpycube ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | 39936 | - | - | 3631 | 7516 | 7786 | 0.128/0.807 | 2.39 | - | - | 1.1 s |
| bear | 98548 | 14626 | 8 | 2.2 | 188 | 467 | 7697 | 0.443/0.918 | 3.13 | 1.3 s | 209m31s | 55s |
| bear ${ }^{\circ}$ | 98548 | 14626 | - | - | 21394 | 37754 | 37818 | 0.160/0.804 | 2.26 | - | - | 1.2 s |
| bear* | 10912 | 4588 | - | - | 140 | 104 | 10700 | 0.470/0.935 | 2.43 | - | 1 m 53 s | 10s |
| bunny | 24169 | 7098 | 3 | 2.0 | 60 | 77 | 14571 | 0.275/0.900 | 2.92 | 0.5s | 6 m 27 s | 19s |
| bunny ${ }^{\text {o }}$ | 24169 | 7098 | - | - | 46900 | 81556 | 81556 | 0.018/0.799 | 2.40 | - | - | 2.2 |
| bunny* | 169341 | 52302 | - | - | 190 | 273 | 37734 | 0.382/0.926 | 1.24 | - | 130s | - |
| chair | 14163 | 7490 | 8 | 2.0 | 160 | 266 | 9659 | 0.274/0.918 | 1.81 | 0.6 s | 2m43s | 50s |
| chair ${ }^{\circ}$ | 14163 | 7490 | - | - | 12005 | 35223 | 41664 | 0.076/0.864 | 1.08 | - | - | 1.2 s |
| elephant | 119321 | 24806 | 9 | 1.8 | 526 | 5827 | 23002 | 0.242/0.887 | 1.51 | 1.9s | 193m10s | 110s |
| elephant ${ }^{\circ}$ | 119321 | 24806 | - | - | 61248 | 100412 | 100412 | 0.015/0.800 | 0.90 | - | - | 2.3 s |
| elephant* | 132796 | 21414 | - | - | 400 | 2842 | 171657 | 0.221/0.887 | 0.829 | - | 23m24s | - |
| horse | 41831 | 7506 | 4 | 2.0 | 78 | 124 | 7523 | 0.259/0.876 | 6.14 | 0.5s | 14m19s | 21s |
| horse ${ }^{\circ}$ | 41831 | 7506 | - | - | 72295 | 118136 | 118136 | 0.011/0.766 | 5.08 | - | - | 2.3 s |
| kitty | 4521 | 1946 | 2 | 2.0 | 80 | 129 | 7124 | 0.291/0.887 | 2.56 | 0.2s | 36s | 6s |
| kitty ${ }^{\text {o }}$ | 4521 | 1946 | - | - | 9031 | 15049 | 15049 | 0.125/0.784 | 3.18 | - | - | 1.1 s |
| kitty* | 4951 | 2220 | - | - | 75 | 121 | 7083 | 0.424/0.910 | 2.29 | - | 36s | - |
| pipe | 16285 | 4442 | 3 | 2.0 | 80 | 86 | 9045 | 0.419/0.933 | 1.59 | 0.2s | 2m37s | 12s |
| pipe ${ }^{o}$ | 16285 | 4442 | - | - | 1847 | 2656 | 5571 | 0.092/0.790 | 3.92 | - | - |  |
| pipe* | 16285 | 4442 | - | - | 80 | 69 | 7168 | 0.258/0.925 | 1.45 | - | 2m32s | 14s |
| robot | 27606 | 9032 | 10 | 1.9 | 196 | 598 | 8013 | 0.254/0.941 | 1.80 | 0.6 s | 6 m 30 s | 25s |
| robot $^{\circ}$ | 27606 | 9032 | - | - | 23398 | 46362 | 47174 | 0.014/0.758 | 1.05 | 0.6 s | 6 m 30 s | 25 s |
| rod | 70178 | 13818 | 4 | 1.5 | 48 | 66 | 11448 | 0.063/0.932 | 1.14 | 1.2 s | 53m53s | 48s |
| $\mathrm{rod}^{\circ}$ | 70178 | 13818 | - | - | 2949 | 6645 | 7403 | 0.016/0.774 | 4.07 | - | - | 1.1 s |
| rod* | 79936 | 19806 | - | - | 80 | 122 | 11092 | 0.418/0.929 | 1.27 | - | 48 m | - |
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